Exploring the use of unit tests, linters, and format checkers to enhance computer-programming instruction

Paul Salvador Inventado pinventado@fullerton.edu California State University Fullerton Fullerton, California

ABSTRACT

It takes a lot of practice to master a complex skill like programming. Computer Science instructors provide as much programming exercises as they can to students, but struggle with the time and effort required for creating problems, checking, and providing feedback on students' solutions. Many instructors have used unit tests, which are programs that compare the output of student programs against expected results, to check for code correctness then manually check coding style and design. We are currently building a framework to explore the use of linters and format checkers in addition to unit tests to check the design and style of code written in C++. These tools catch common issues that significantly speeds up checking. We used the framework to create a programming-problem repository that instructors can use to assign exercises in class. Informal interviews with instructors indicated that the addition of linters and format checkers helped encourage their students to use coding best practices. We have also begun to store log data from the automated checkers. We plan to use these data for analyzing student progress in solving programming problems and gathering insights that can help inform instructor feedback.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Social and professional topics \rightarrow CS1; • Software and its engineering \rightarrow Software testing and debugging.

KEYWORDS

programming exercises, programming problem repository, unit testing, linter, coding style

ACM Reference Format:

1 INTRODUCTION

Programming is a complex skill that involves several processes. First, it requires a good understanding of several interrelated concepts to design an algorithm that solves a given problem. Second,

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

© 2019 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM...\$15.00 https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnnnnnnnnn

programmers need to implement their algorithms using a programming language. Third, they need to decipher error messages when they compile or run their code. Finally, they need to modify their code to fix syntactical and logical errors that will then satisfy the requirements of the programming problem.

Students need to practice all these processes to refine their programming skills. Instructors can help students learn by supporting them in each step, but this can be challenging in a classroom setting where many students request help at the same time.

We have been developing an open programming problem repository which contains problems that instructors can use in class and automated tests that can help instructors check and give feedback to students. We are also starting to collect data from students' interaction with the system so that we can investigate how it contributes to student learning and find new ways to support them.

2 AUTOMATED CHECKING AND FEEDBACK

There are several tools that programmers use to help them verify the correctness and design of their code. We investigate the viability of three such tools to support student learning: unit tests, linters, and code formatters.

2.1 Unit tests

Unit tests are used to validate code behavior. Such tests often compare the output of code that is tested against expected output given specific inputs. Figure 1 shows the results of a unit test that checks the behavior of a user-defined *power* function.

Figure 1: The results of a googletest-based unit test that checks the behavior of a user-defined power function against three test cases.

Instructors can use unit tests to check student code by designing test cases that validate important aspects of their code. They can assign points to every test case that passes and zero or partial points to those that fail.

Students can also run unit tests to check their programs against the test cases defined by their instructor. Test results do not only provide students with immediate feedback but also frees up the instructor's time to answer other students' questions.

2.2 Linter

A linter is a tool that diagnoses common programming errors, style violations, or bugs. Figure 2 shows the results of a linter that highlights two potential bugs in the code.

Figure 2: Results generated by clang-tidy, a C++ linter, on students' code. Specifically it suggests using curly braces to enclose any code block regardless of length and suggests initializing variables.

Unlike unit tests, linters focus less on coding errors and more on best practices which also allow it to evaluate the design of students' code. Interestingly, they are quite useful for uncovering and fixing logical errors as shown in the example.

2.3 Code formatter

Code formatters are usually used to fix the spacing, indentation, and line length to make code easier to read. Many text editors and IDEs provide this functionality already. Figure 3 shows the differences between a students' code and a preferred code format using a *diff* program.

Code formatters are not problem-specific, but they help make the code more readable and easier to debug. Novice programmers can benefit a lot from this tool because they often forget to indent their code. In many cases, students immediately figure out their errors after fixing code formatting. Instructors can also use this checker as a measure of code readability.

3 OPEN PROGRAMMING PROBLEM REPOSITORY

We have been developing an online repository of programming problems that are distributed with unit tests, linters, and code formatters. We plan to share this repository with other instructors to

Figure 3: The results of a diff program comparing students' code and the preferred way of formatting code.

help them provide more practice problems to their students. More importantly, we hope to reduce the effort required for checking students' solutions and free up instructors' time so they can focus on answering higher-level questions while the tools provide students with low-level feedback.

The repository is hosted on GitHub¹ and contains around 145 programming problems mainly designed for C++. It uses the $googletest^2$ library for unit tests, clang- $tidy^3$ as the linter, and clang- $format^4$ for code formatting.

4 INSIGHTS AND FUTURE WORK

Informal interviews with instructors revealed that they appreciated the amount of time the repository saved them from creating and checking problems on their own. However, they felt less control over the problems unless they modified it to fit their need. Advanced students felt it was useful for helping them quickly debug their code and validate their own tests, but felt the tests limited their coding style. Novice students liked getting immediate feedback because they don't get stuck waiting for their instructor to help them. However, it took time for them to learn how to interpret the test outputs before they can use it.

We just started collecting data from students' interactions with the three tools. Specifically, we take snapshots of the students' code at the time they run a tool and also keep a log of the results it generates. We plan to use the data to answer the following questions in our future work:

- How much time do unit tests, linters, and code formatters save from answering programming problems?
- Are the tests useful or do they disrupt student learning?
- How much time and effort do the tools save for checking and grading student solutions?

¹https://github.com/ilxl-ppr

²https://github.com/google/googletest

³https://clang.llvm.org/extra/clang-tidy

⁴https://clang.llvm.org/docs/ClangFormat.html